Computer E	ngineering	Programme (CEG), NUS
------------	------------	-------------	-----	--------

CONFIDENTIAL

Student Name and Student ID:	Main Evaluator:

CG4001 BEng Dissertation / CG4003 Advanced Project and Internship

Final Assessment - Main Evaluator

Please tick the relevant button for the chosen level of achievement for each sub-criterion in the tables below.

Note:

- 1. Tick achievement level +3 or +4, only if you can fully justify. Where applicable, please provide the justification in table 3.
- 2. This evaluation accounts for 35% towards the final grade.

Table 1: Understanding of the problem, and Technical Achievement

	Sub-criteria	Level of Achievement								
		-4	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2 +3 +4		
	Rationale	•			•	0	•	• • •		
		Incomplete report submitted; failsto provide adequate context, rationale, or purpose of thesis.			Somewhat ade context suppor proposed thesi	ting rat		Provides clear context supporting rationale for proposed thesis and motivation for work.		
Understanding		•	•	•	•	0		0 0 0		
and formulation of the problem (20%)		Problem area objectives va			Problem area is Objectives are although gap e of investigation	clearly xists in	outlined the main area	Objectives are clearly stated; explains why the problem is meaningful, innovative and challenging.		
		•		•	•	0	•	• • •		
	Literature survey and/or prior work	Review of existing work is not evident. References used are outdated.			Adequate literature survey; however uncritical and the relevance of literature survey to the project is not made clear.			Literature is critically evaluated; limitations of previous works clearly explained.		

		•	0	•	•		•	•	0	•
Extension of Knowledge	Evidence of Innovative work	Basic concepts not applied correctly; No innovative work.			Basic concepts used and some innovative work initiated, but of minimal importance.			Basic and new concepts are applied and adopted in the design; Promising innovative work initiated.		
(10%)									•	•
	Ability to work independently	No evidence of learning.	of inde	pendent	Some demons work.	tration (of independent	Demonstrat perform ind		ong capability to lent work.
Methodology, Implementation and Analysis (30%)	Methodology	•	•		•				0	•
		Problem not fully researched or investigated; appropriateness of research/ design or investigative method is questionable.			The research/ design method is adequate.			Disciplined, well thought out investigation/design method with justification.		
	Implementation		•		•				•	
		No clear specification of the problem and inadequate or trivial implementation; Limited use of problem solving skills.			Partial in-depth specification and implementation; Some steps used in solving the problem are not supported by calculations or reasoning.			Fully developed specification and supporting implementation; Use of problem solving skills is evident.		
	Analysis	•	0	•	•	•	•	•	0	•
		No assumption		•	Assumptions a not justified; L interpretation	imited a	•		Resu	otions are stated ts are analysed ed.

Table 2: Report and Presentation

	Sub-criteria	Level of Achievement								
	Sub-criteria	-4 -	3 -2	-1	0 +1		+2	+3	+4	
		•			0 0			0		
	Organization	Incomplete report Poor organization chapters not clear	n of thesis;	Organization is generally good, but some parts seem out of place.			Written work is well organized and easy to understand; Chapters are appropriate and well linked.			
		•		•	•					
Report (20%)	Writing style	Disorganized to t preventing under Frequent spelling grammatical erro	rstanding; gand	A few spelling and grammatical errors. Writing style indicates planning that makes reading easy.			Spell-checked and proofread well; Writing style indicates planning that makes reading easy.			
		•	•	•	• •			0		
	Relevance of Content	Considerable am are irrelevant, tri or not document are outdated and	vial, misplaced ed; References	Work presented relevant to the work performed; Documentation and referencing is just adequate			Work presented entirely relevant to the work performed; Information appropriately placed in either the main text or appendices.			
Presentation (20%)	Presentation and slides	•			• •			0		
		Presentation was incomprehensibl prepared slides.		Presentation wa were well prepa confidence.				epared ughout	xcellent; Slides I. Confident and the	
	Q & A	•			• •		•	0		
		Unable to explair on the slides; Fai most of the ques prompting.	ed to answer	Explanation was context; Made g questions, altho were acceptable	good effort to ugh not all of	answer			s to satisfaction ood grasp of the	

Table 3: Justification If you have ticked achievement level +3 or +4 for any of the assessed criteria above, please provide reasons to justify the assessment.							
Should this project be considered for the award of "Best FYP"?	No No	Yes (please provide justification in table 3)					