Computer Engineering Programme (CEG), NU	Computer	Engineering	Programme	(CEG)	, NUS
--	----------	-------------	------------------	-------	-------

വ	NF	IDE	NTI	ΔI

Name of Student:	Matric No.:
Supervisor:	

Rubrics for CA (Supervisor)

For the tables below, please tick the relevant button (either "+" or "-" for the chosen level of achievement) for each column. For the chosen level of achievement, tick the "+" button if you feel that the student is above the level of indicated achievement and the "-" button if the student meets, or is slightly below, the indicated achievement level.

Note: Tick achievement level 5+ only if you can fully justify (reasons to be provided) that the student deserves full marks for the particular criterion assessed.

Table 1: Effort and Initiative

Levels of Achievement	Effort (15%)	Initiative (15%)
1	 Does not take responsibility for own work. Unmotivated, hardly demonstrates any effort and shows little interest/diligence in the project. Seldom attends meetings with supervisor. 	 Shows little interest and initiative in the project. Demonstrates a negative attitude towards learning and further inquiry.
2	Must be reminded to stay on tasks. Demonstrates minimal effort and diligence. Makes excuses for not carrying out work. Stopped working when difficulties arose. Meetings with supervisor are intermittent and irregular. Comes unprepared for meetings.	O Lack resourcefulness and hardly shows initiative and self direction. Displays considerable lack of confidence and motivation. Generally avoids new learning situations and challenges. Generates questions for further inquiry reluctantly and only with assistance and direct supervision. Constantly rely on guidance to progress in the project.
3	 Demonstrates some responsibility for setting goals/targets and planning. Demonstrates effort when prompted. Diligent but does not exert more effort when difficulties arose. 	O Shows initiative and self direction in limited ways. • Approaches new learning situations and challenges with limited confidence. • Shows some interest and sometimes generates questions for further inquiry when prompted. • Shows motivation for some activities. • Some guidance needed in solving problems.
4	 Accepts complete responsibility for developing goals/targets/plans with good commitment. Demonstrates consistent effort. Diligent and shows some independence in tackling problems encountered. Demonstrates perseverance when difficulties arose or when a solution was not immediately obvious. 	Generally shows initiative and self direction. Demonstrates an attitude towards learning and approaches challenges with some confidence. Explores and generates some questions for further inquiry. Shows good motivation for all activities. Independent in solving problems.
5	 Independently develops challenging goals/targets/plans and sustains strong commitment to them. Highly motivated and gives maximal effort. Shows considerable diligence and independence in tackling problems encountered. Views difficulties that arose as opportunities to strengthen understanding. 	Shows considerable initiative and self direction. Identifies problems to solve. Approaches new learning situations and challenges with a positive, enthusiastic and confident attitude. Constantly explores and independently generates relevant questions for further inquiry.

Table 2: Understanding and Execution

Levels of Achievement	Understanding (30%)			Execution and Overall Achievement (20%)		
1	Hardly any understanding of main issues and shows little interest in the project. Does not understand important algorithms/assumptions/circuits/codes/design concepts/equations/ experimental requirements/models.	+	0 0	 Has difficulty in validating /formulating the problem statement or shows little interest in doing so. Only beginning to understand the tools required for the project. 	+	00
2	Summarizes issues though some aspects are incorrect or some key issues are neglected. Little understanding of the important algorithms/ assumptions/circuits/codes/design concepts/equations/ experimental requirements/models. Little awareness of constraints/assumptions.	-+	0 0	Has difficulty in validating /formulating the problem but is making some effort towards exploring the problem. Unable to make effective use of relevant tools.	+	00
3	Adequate but superficial understanding/summary of the key issues. Design/equations/ models/experimental requirements are not well understood. Little identification of inter-relationships between issues. Can solve problems with guided supervision. Some appreciation of constraints/shortcomings of current/own work.	+	00	 Has formulated the problem and is able to validate the problem statement with limited success so far. Has proposed some viable solutions/plans to address difficulties encountered. Able to make good use of tools. 	+	00
4	Able to use equations/models to demonstrate/explain certain behaviours/trends. Poses relevant questions for further inquiry. Able to propose new changes to improve the results achieved at this stage. Well aware of constraints/shortcomings of current/own work and ready to provide improvements.	+	0 0	 Has formulated problem statement with clear objectives. Able to validate the problem statement with some success. Preliminary results verified but in-depth analysis has yet to be realized. Able to propose some plans to move forward to achieve the goals of the project. Well aware of shortcomings of the current work. 	+	0 0
5	Able to use equations / models to demonstrate/explain certain behaviours/trends. Clearly identifies all embedded or implicit key issues and integral relationships. Poses a depth and breadth of relevant questions for further inquiry. Well aware of constraints/shortcomings of current/own work and ready to propose new approaches to improve the results achieved at this stage.	+	0 0	 Good formulation of problem statement with clear and viable objectives. Able to validate the problem statement with good success. Has verified or demonstrated repeatability/accuracy of results obtained. Good analysis given to support understanding. Well aware of shortcomings of the current work. Ready to make significant changes to improve current/previous results. Detailed and viable plan is in place to achieve the goals of the project. 	-+	0 0

Table 3: Report

Levels of Achievement	Report (20%)		
1	 Disorganized to the extent of preventing understanding. Frequent spelling and grammatical errors. Writing is disorganized and difficult to read and understand. Considerable amount of material are irrelevant, misplaced or not documented. 	+	00
2	Unclear organization; almost one spelling/grammar error per page. Readable writing style, but difficult to follow. Work presented is either trivial or not used in the work performed.	+	00
3	Report is readable, but requires some effort. Content is somewhat organized but organization can be better. Contains relevant materials though more material can be included.	+	00
4	 Organization is generally good, but some parts seem out of place. A few spelling and grammatical errors. Writing style indicates planning that makes reading easy. Content is sufficient and largely relevant. 	+	00
5	Written work is well organized and easy to understand. Spell-checked and proofread well. Writing style indicates planning that makes reading easy and flow of material makes understanding easy. Work presented is entirely relevant to the work performed. Information appropriately placed in either the main text or appendices.	- +	00

If you have ticked achievement level 5+ for any of the assessed criteria above, please provi	de reasons to justify your assessment:

Qualitative Feedback (Will be sent to the student via email once submitted)		
Signature of Supervisor:	Date:	
Name of Supervisor:		